Social Studies Curriculum
Psychology

Grade 12 Unit 3
Course Description

This course is designed to give the student a basic understanding of the psychology of human behavior. The student will be given exposure to the concepts, terminology, principles, and theories that comprise an introductory course in psychology. Topics covered are to synthesize the broad range of knowledge about psychology, to emphasize research methodology, to encourage critical thinking, and to convey a multicultural approach that respects human diversity and individual differences. Students will have the opportunity for self-assessment as well as for teacher guidance and assessment throughout the course.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit 1</td>
<td>METHODS, APPROACHES, AND HISTORY</td>
<td>7-9 Weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 2</td>
<td>Mind, Body Connection</td>
<td>7-9 Weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 3</td>
<td>Cognition, Learning and Behaviorism</td>
<td>7-9 Weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 4</td>
<td>PERSONALITY, and DEVELOPMENT</td>
<td>7-9 Weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 5</td>
<td>Abnormal Psychology &amp; Intervention</td>
<td>7-9 Weeks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Educational Technology Standards


- **Technology Operations and Concepts**
  - Create a personal digital portfolio which reflects personal and academic interests, achievements, and career aspirations by using a variety of digital tools and resources
  - Produce and edit a multi-page digital document for a commercial or professional audience and present it to peers and/or professionals in that related area for review.

- **Creativity and Innovation**
  - Apply previous content knowledge by creating and piloting a digital learning game or tutorial.

- **Communication and Collaboration**
  - Develop an innovative solution to a real world problem or issue in collaboration with peers and experts, and present ideas for feedback through social media or in an online community.

- **Digital Citizenship**
  - Demonstrate appropriate application of copyright, fair use and/or Creative Commons to an original work.
  - Evaluate consequences of unauthorized electronic access and disclosure, and on dissemination of personal information.
  - Compare and contrast policies on filtering and censorship both locally and globally.

- **Research and Information Literacy**
  - Produce a position statement about a real world problem by developing a systematic plan of investigation with peers and experts synthesizing information from multiple sources.

- **Critical Thinking, Problem Solving, Decision Making**
  - Evaluate the strengths and limitations of emerging technologies and their impact on educational, career, personal and or social needs.
**Career Ready Practices**

Career Ready Practices describe the career-ready skills that all educators in all content areas should seek to develop in their students. They are practices that have been linked to increase college, career, and life success. Career Ready Practices should be taught and reinforced in all career exploration and preparation programs with increasingly higher levels of complexity and expectation as a student advances through a program of study.

**CRP1. Act as a responsible and contributing citizen and employee**

Career-ready individuals understand the obligations and responsibilities of being a member of a community, and they demonstrate this understanding every day through their interactions with others. They are conscientious of the impacts of their decisions on others and the environment around them. They think about the near-term and long-term consequences of their actions and seek to act in ways that contribute to the betterment of their teams, families, community and workplace. They are reliable and consistent in going beyond the minimum expectation and in participating in activities that serve the greater good.

**CRP2. Apply appropriate academic and technical skills.**

Career-ready individuals readily access and use the knowledge and skills acquired through experience and education to be more productive. They make connections between abstract concepts with real-world applications, and they make correct insights about when it is appropriate to apply the use of an academic skill in a workplace situation.

**CRP3. Attend to personal health and financial well-being.**

Career-ready individuals understand the relationship between personal health, workplace performance and personal well-being; they act on that understanding to regularly practice healthy diet, exercise and mental health activities. Career-ready individuals also take regular action to contribute to their personal financial wellbeing, understanding that personal financial security provides the peace of mind required to contribute more fully to their own career success.
**Career Ready Practices**

**CRP4. Communicate clearly and effectively and with reason.**
Career-ready individuals communicate thoughts, ideas, and action plans with clarity, whether using written, verbal, and/or visual methods. They communicate in the workplace with clarity and purpose to make maximum use of their own and others’ time. They are excellent writers; they master conventions, word choice, and organization, and use effective tone and presentation skills to articulate ideas. They are skilled at interacting with others; they are active listeners and speak clearly and with purpose. Career-ready individuals think about the audience for their communication and prepare accordingly to ensure the desired outcome.

**CRP5. Consider the environmental, social and economic impacts of decisions.**
Career-ready individuals understand the interrelated nature of their actions and regularly make decisions that positively impact and/or mitigate negative impact on other people, organization, and the environment. They are aware of and utilize new technologies, understandings, procedures, materials, and regulations affecting the nature of their work as it relates to the impact on the social condition, the environment and the profitability of the organization.

**CRP6. Demonstrate creativity and innovation.**
Career-ready individuals regularly think of ideas that solve problems in new and different ways, and they contribute those ideas in a useful and productive manner to improve their organization. They can consider unconventional ideas and suggestions as solutions to issues, tasks or problems, and they discern which ideas and suggestions will add greatest value. They seek new methods, practices, and ideas from a variety of sources and seek to apply those ideas to their own workplace. They take action on their ideas and understand how to bring innovation to an organization.

**CRP7. Employ valid and reliable research strategies.**
Career-ready individuals are discerning in accepting and using new information to make decisions, change practices or inform strategies. They use reliable research process to search for new information. They evaluate the validity of sources when considering the use and adoption of external information or practices in their workplace situation.
**Career Ready Practices**

**CRP8. Utilize critical thinking to make sense of problems and persevere in solving them.**
Career-ready individuals readily recognize problems in the workplace, understand the nature of the problem, and devise effective plans to solve the problem. They are aware of problems when they occur and take action quickly to address the problem; they thoughtfully investigate the root cause of the problem prior to introducing solutions. They carefully consider the options to solve the problem. Once a solution is agreed upon, they follow through to ensure the problem is solved, whether through their own actions or the actions of others.

**CRP9. Model integrity, ethical leadership and effective management.**
Career-ready individuals consistently act in ways that align personal and community-held ideals and principles while employing strategies to positively influence others in the workplace. They have a clear understanding of integrity and act on this understanding in every decision. They use a variety of means to positively impact the directions and actions of a team or organization, and they apply insights into human behavior to change others’ action, attitudes and/or beliefs. They recognize the near-term and long-term effects that management’s actions and attitudes can have on productivity, morals and organizational culture.

**CRP10. Plan education and career paths aligned to personal goals.**
Career-ready individuals take personal ownership of their own education and career goals, and they regularly act on a plan to attain these goals. They understand their own career interests, preferences, goals, and requirements. They have perspective regarding the pathways available to them and the time, effort, experience and other requirements to pursue each, including a path of entrepreneurship. They recognize the value of each step in the education and experiential process, and they recognize that nearly all career paths require ongoing education and experience. They seek counselors, mentors, and other experts to assist in the planning and execution of career and personal goals.

**CRP11. Use technology to enhance productivity.**
Career-ready individuals find and maximize the productive value of existing and new technology to accomplish workplace tasks and solve workplace problems. They are flexible and adaptive in acquiring new technology. They are proficient with ubiquitous technology applications. They understand the inherent risks-personal and
## Career Ready Practices

organizational of technology applications, and they take actions to prevent or mitigate these risks.

**CRP12. Work productively in teams while using cultural global competence.**
Career-ready individuals positively contribute to every team, whether formal or informal. They apply an awareness of cultural difference to avoid barriers to productive and positive interaction. They find ways to increase the engagement and contribution of all team members. They plan and facilitate effective team meetings.
## Differentiated Instruction

**Accommodate Based on Students Individual Needs: Strategies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Time/General</strong></th>
<th><strong>Processing</strong></th>
<th><strong>Comprehension</strong></th>
<th><strong>Recall</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extra time for assigned tasks</td>
<td>Extra Response time</td>
<td>Precise step-by-step directions</td>
<td>Teacher-made checklist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjust length of assignment</td>
<td>Have students verbalize steps</td>
<td>Short manageable tasks</td>
<td>Use visual graphic organizers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timeline with due dates for reports and projects</td>
<td>Repeat, clarify or reword directions</td>
<td>Brief and concrete directions</td>
<td>Reference resources to promote independence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication system between home and school</td>
<td>Mini-breaks between tasks</td>
<td>Provide immediate feedback</td>
<td>Visual and verbal reminders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide lecture notes/outline</td>
<td>Provide a warning for transitions</td>
<td>Small group instruction</td>
<td>Graphic organizers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reading partners</td>
<td>Emphasize multi-sensory learning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Assistive Technology</strong></th>
<th><strong>Tests/Quizzes/Grading</strong></th>
<th><strong>Behavior/Attention</strong></th>
<th><strong>Organization</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Computer/whiteboard</td>
<td>Extended time</td>
<td>Consistent daily structured routine</td>
<td>Individual daily planner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tape recorder</td>
<td>Study guides</td>
<td>Simple and clear classroom rules</td>
<td>Display a written agenda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spell-checker</td>
<td>Shortened tests</td>
<td>Frequent feedback</td>
<td>Note-taking assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio-taped books</td>
<td>Read directions aloud</td>
<td></td>
<td>Color code materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tests/Quizzes/Grading**
- Extended time
- Study guides
- Shortened tests
- Read directions aloud

**Behavior/Attention**
- Consistent daily structured routine
- Simple and clear classroom rules
- Frequent feedback

**Organization**
- Individual daily planner
- Display a written agenda
- Note-taking assistance
- Color code materials
Enrichment

Accommodate Based on Students Individual Needs: Strategies

- Adaption of Material and Requirements
- Evaluate Vocabulary
- Elevated Text Complexity
- Additional Projects
- Independent Student Options
- Projects completed individual or with Partners
- Self Selection of Research
- Tiered/Multilevel Activities
- Learning Centers
- Individual Response Board
- Independent Book Studies
- Open-ended activities
- Community/Subject expert mentorships
## Assessments

### Suggested Formative/Summative Classroom Assessments

- Timelines, Maps, Charts, Graphic Organizers
- Unit Assessments, Chapter Assessments, Quizzes
- DBQ, Essays, Short Answer
- Accountable Talk, Debate, Oral Report, Role Playing, Think Pair, and Share
- Projects, Portfolio, Presentations, Prezi, Gallery Walks
- Homework
- Concept Mapping
- Primary and Secondary Source analysis
- Photo, Video, Political Cartoon, Radio, Song Analysis
- Create an Original Song, Film, or Poem
- Glogster to make Electronic Posters
- Tumblr to create a Blog
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NJDOE Student Learning Objectives</th>
<th>Essential Question</th>
<th>Sample Activities</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Interdisciplinary Connections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summarize the different theories that account for memory. 2.5.12. B.2, 2.5.12.B.2 LA.11-12.RST.9</td>
<td>What are the theories of memory?</td>
<td>Compare and contrast Skinner, Pavlov and Bandura in terms of learning</td>
<td>Psychology NetLabs</td>
<td>Read an excerpt from the novel <em>Walden Two</em> and write an evaluation of the psychological concepts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiate the three processes involved in memory: encoding, storage, and retrieval. 6.3.12.D.2</td>
<td>What are the different mental processes that you use on a daily basis?</td>
<td>Identify own personal style of learning</td>
<td>Psychology NetLabs</td>
<td>Flashbulb Memory Writing Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA.11-12.RH.10 LA.11-12.RH.4 LA.11-12.RST.2</td>
<td>What is memory?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grade:** 12  
**Unit:** 3  
**Topic:** Cognition, Learning and Behaviorism  
**6 weeks**  


**Standards:** CCSS: RH.9-10.1CCSS.ELA-Literacy.RH.9-10.4 CCSS.ELA-Literacy.RH.9-10.5 CCSS.ELA-Literacy.RH.9-10.6 CCSS.ELA-Literacy.RH.9-10.7 CCSS.ELA-Literacy.RH.9-10.8 CCSS.ELA-Literacy.RH.9-10.2 CCSS.ELA-Literacy.RH.9-10.3 RST.9 CCSS.ELA-Literacy.RST.9-10.4 CCSS.ELA-Literacy.RST.9-10.9
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NJDOE Student Learning Objectives</th>
<th>Essential Question</th>
<th>Sample Activities</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Interdisciplinary Connections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Modifying behavior: create an experiment using classical or operant conditioning, examine its components | PsychSim Classical Conditioning  
Conditioning Observation and Essay | Little Albert Activity finding the identity of Albert interactive class activity  
Little Emotional Albert Study  
Internet resources Association for Psychological Science and streaming video  
Creating a False Memory  
PsychSim Monkey See, Monkey Do  
Discuss the effects of hunger on behavior.  
PsychSim Monkey See, Monkey Do  
Discuss the effects of hunger on behavior. |
| Recognize that stored memory can be retrieved by recognition, recall, and relearning. 6.3.12 LA.11-12.RH.10 LA.11-12.RST.2 LA.11-12.RST.1 | How do we form memories?  
How do we retrieve memories?  
Why does memory sometimes fail us? | Creating a False Memory | Little Albert Activity finding the identity of Albert interactive class activity |  |
| Evaluate Abraham Maslow’s hierarchy of needs as a way to understand human behavior. 2.4.12.A.1, 3.5,12.C.3, 6.2.12.D.5.c | How does memory affect behavior? | PsychSim Monkey See, Monkey Do  
Discuss the effects of hunger on behavior. |  |  |
| Understand behavior is a product of how a stimulus causes a result. 6.2.12.D.5.c, LA.11-12.RST.9 | In what ways does your environment control your behavior? | NOVA of Mice and Memory  
Lecture/Discussion - Observational Learning: Media Violence and Aggression | NOVA of Mice and Memory Activities | I Don’t Want My Music Television Analysis  
See Aggression, Do Aggression Reading and Reflection |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NJDOE Student Learning Objectives</th>
<th>Essential Question</th>
<th>Sample Activities</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Interdisciplinary Connections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PsychSim Monkey See, Monkey Do</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choose 1</td>
<td>Unit Project (Suggested)</td>
<td>Unit Project (Suggested)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Creating a Brochure

Task:
Pretend you are an advisor for incoming high school freshman next year. Create a brochure that outlines memory techniques and helpful hints for studying. Be sure to include the following concepts and retrieval cues: elaborative rehearsal, maintenance rehearsal, chunking, mnemonics, method of loci, distributed practice, PQ4R, summarization. You should also summarize the process of encoding, storage, and retrieval.

Rubric

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>(4) Above Proficient</th>
<th>(3) Proficient</th>
<th>(2) Basic</th>
<th>(1) Below Basic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Content Accuracy</td>
<td>All of the information is accurate and well-defined throughout the brochure.</td>
<td>Most of the information is accurate and well-defined throughout the brochure.</td>
<td>Some information is not defined properly throughout the brochure.</td>
<td>There are several errors present in the accuracy of content. Definitions are missing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required Components</td>
<td>The brochure has all of the required information and some additional information.</td>
<td>The brochure has all of the required information.</td>
<td>The brochure has half of the required information.</td>
<td>The brochure has little of the required information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing – Mechanics:</td>
<td>All of the writing is in complete sentences. Capitalization, punctuation and spelling are correct throughout the brochure.</td>
<td>Most of the writing is in complete sentences. Most of the capitalization, punctuation and spelling are correct throughout the brochure.</td>
<td>Half of the writing is in complete sentences. Some of the capitalization, punctuation and spelling are correct throughout the brochure.</td>
<td>Much of the writing is not in complete sentences. Multiple errors are present in capitalization, punctuation and spelling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spelling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentence Form</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grammar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphics/Pictures</td>
<td>There are plentiful neatly colored graphics included that go well with the text.</td>
<td>There are some neatly colored graphics included that go well with the text.</td>
<td>Graphics are included but do not always go well with the text. Graphics may or may not be colored or neat.</td>
<td>No graphics are present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attractiveness &amp;</td>
<td>The brochure has attractive formatting, is in bullet format, and has very well organized information.</td>
<td>The brochure has attractive formatting and organized information.</td>
<td>The brochure has adequate formatting and is somewhat organized.</td>
<td>The brochure’s formatting and organization of material are confusing to the reader.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

40 Studies Reading

Summarize each article. Follow the format below. Be sure to answer EACH question. This section may be word processed or NEATLY handwritten. If I cannot read your assignment, it WILL NOT be scored.

1. What question was the researcher trying to answer (What aspect of human behavior were they interested in)?

2. Summarize the results of the study.

3. Discuss ONE example of the significance of the study OR ONE criticism directed toward the research.

4. Discuss ONE example of subsequent research in this area OR recent applications of this study.

5. What did you learn? How did this study improve your understanding of psychology? Explain.

Grading: Notes will be graded on clarity of thought, quality of ideas, and completeness (Do your notes reflect a thorough reading of the article? Did you address all of the questions asked above?). You will need to write more than a sentence for each of the above questions.
WHAT YOU EXPECT IS WHAT YOU GET


We are all familiar with the idea of the self-fulfilling prophecy. One way of describing this concept is to say that if we expect something to happen in a certain way, our expectation will tend to make it so. Whether self-fulfilling prophecies really do occur in a predictable way in everyday life is open to scientific study, but psychological research has demonstrated that in some areas they are a reality.

The question of the self-fulfilling prophecy in scientific research was first brought to the attention of psychologists in 1911 in the famous case of "Clever Hans," the horse of Mr. von Osten (Pfungst, 1911). Clever Hans was a horse that was famous for being able to read, spell, and solve math problems by stomping out answers with his front hoof. Naturally there were many skeptics, but when Hans's abilities were tested by a committee of experts, they were found to be genuinely performed without prompting from Mr. von Osten. But how could any horse (except possibly for Mr. Ed!) possess such a degree of human intelligence? Well, a psychologist, O. Pfungst, performed a series of careful experiments and found that Hans was receiving subtle unintentional cues from his questioners. For example, after asking a question, people would look down at the horse's hoof for the answer. As the horse approached the correct number of hoofbeats, the questioners would raise their eyes or head very slightly in anticipation of the horse completing his answer. The horse had been conditioned to use these subtle movements from the observers as signs to stop stomping, and this usually resulted in the correct answer to the question.

So, you might ask, how is a trick horse related to psychological research? Well, the Clever Hans findings pointed out the possibility that observers often have specific expectations or biases that may cause them to send covert and unintentional signals to a subject being studied. These signals, then, may cause the subject to respond in ways that are consistent with the observers' bias and, consequently, confirm their expectations. What all this finally boils down to is that an experimenter may think a certain behavior results from his or her scientific treatment of one subject or one group of subjects compared with another. Actually the behavior may result from nothing more than the experimenter's own biased expectations. If this occurs, it renders the experiment invalid. This threat to the validity of a psychological experiment is called the *experimenter expectancy effect*.

Robert Rosenthal, a leading researcher on this methodological issue, has demonstrated the experimenter expectancy effect in laboratory psychological experiments. In one study (Rosenthal & Fode, 1963), psychology students in a learning and conditioning course unknowingly became subjects themselves. Some of the students were told they would be working with rats that had been specially bred for high intelligence, as measured by their ability to learn mazes quickly. The rest of the students were told that they would be working with rats bred for dullness in learning mazes. The students then proceeded to condition their rats to perform various skills, including maze learning. The students who had been assigned the maze-bright rats reported significantly faster learning times than those reported by the students with the maze-dull rats. In reality, the rats given to the students were standard lab rats and were randomly assigned. These students were not cheating or purposefully slanting their results. The influences they exerted on their animals were apparently unintentional and unconscious.

As a result of such research, the threat of experimenter expectancies to scientific research has been well established. Properly trained researchers, using careful procedures (such as the double-blind method, in which
the experimenters who come in contact with the subjects are unaware of the hypotheses of the study) are usually able to avoid most of these expectancy effects. Beyond this, however, Rosenthal was concerned about how such biases and expectancies might occur outside the laboratory, such as in school classrooms. Because teachers in public schools may not have the opportunity to learn about the dangers of expectancies, how great an influence might this tendency have on the students' potential performance? After all, teachers have historically been given students' IQ scores beginning in the first grade. Could this information set up biased expectancies in the teachers' minds and cause them to unintentionally treat "bright" students (as judged by high IQ scores) differently from those seen as less bright? And if so, is this fair? Those questions formed the basis of Rosenthal and Jacobson's study.

THEORETICAL PROPOSITIONS
Rosenthal labeled this expectancy effect, as it occurs in natural interpersonal settings outside the laboratory, the Pygmalion effect. In the Greek myth, a sculptor (Pygmalion) falls in love with his sculpted creation of a woman. Most people are more familiar with the modern Shaw play Pygmalion (My Fair Lady is the musical version) about the blossoming of Eliza Doolittle because of the teaching, encouragement, and expectations of Henry Higgins. Rosenthal suspected that when an elementary school teacher is provided with information (such as IQ scores) that creates certain expectancies about students' potential, whether strong or weak, the teacher might unknowingly behave in ways that subtly encourage or facilitate the performance of the students seen as more likely to succeed. This, in turn, would create the self-fulfilling prophecy of actually causing those students to excel, perhaps at the expense of the students for whom lower expectations exist. In order to test these theoretical propositions, Rosenthal and his colleague Jacobson obtained the assistance of an elementary school (called Oak School) in a predominantly lower middle-class neighborhood in a large town.

METHOD
With the cooperation of the Oak School administration, all the students in grades one through six were given an IQ test (called the Tests of General Ability, or TOGA) near the beginning of the academic year. This test was chosen because it was a nonverbal test for which a student's score did not depend primarily upon school-learned skills of reading, writing, and arithmetic. Also, it was a test with which the teachers in Oak School probably would not be familiar. The teachers were told that the students were being given the Harvard Test of Inflected Acquisition. Such deception was important in this case in order for expectancies to be created in the minds of the teachers, a necessary ingredient for the experiment to be successful. It was further explained to the teachers that the Harvard Test was designed to serve as a predictor of academic blooming or spurting. In other words, teachers believed that students who scored high on the test were ready to enter a period of increased learning abilities within the next year. This predictive ability of the test was also, in fact, not true.

At Oak School, there were three classes at each of the six grade levels. All of the 18 teachers (16 women, 2 men) for these classes were given a list of names of students in their classes who had scored in the top 20% on the Harvard Test and were therefore identified as potential academic bloomers during the academic year. But here's the key to this study: The children on the teachers' top 10 lists had been assigned to this experimental condition purely at random. The only difference between these children and the others (the controls) was that they had been identified to their teachers as the ones who would show unusual intellectual gains.

Near the end of the school year, all children at the school were measured again with the same test (the
TOGA), and the degree of change in IQ was calculated for each child. The differences in IQ changes between the experimental group and the controls could then be examined to see if the expectancy effect had been created in a real-world setting.

RESULTS
Figure 1 summarizes the results of the comparisons of the IQ increases for the experimental versus the control groups. For the entire school, the children for whom the teachers had expected greater intellectual growth averaged significantly greater improvement than did the control children (12.2 and 8.2 points, respectively). However, if you examine Figure 1, it is clear that this difference was accounted for by the huge differences in grades one and two. Possible reasons for this are discussed shortly. Rosenthal and Jacobson offered another useful and revealing way to organize the data for these first and second graders. Figure 2 illustrates the percentage of the children in each group who obtained increases in IQ of at least 10, 20, or 30 points. Two major findings emerged from this early study. First, the expectancy effect previously demonstrated in formal laboratory settings also appears to function in less experimental, real-world situations. Second, the effect was very strong in the early grades, yet almost nonexistent for the older children. What does all this mean?

DISCUSSION
As Rosenthal suspected from his past research, the teachers' expectations of their students' behavior became a self-fulfilling prophecy. "When teachers expected that certain children would show greater intellectual development, those children did show greater intellectual development" (Rosenthal & Jacobson, 1968, p. 85). Remember that the data reported are averages of three classes and three teachers for each grade level. It is difficult to think of other explanations for the differences in IQ gains besides the teachers' expectations. However, Rosenthal felt it was important to try to explain why the self-fulfilling prophecy was not demonstrated in the higher grade levels. Both in the article that is the focus of this chapter and in later writings, Rosenthal and Jacobson offered several possible reasons for this finding:
1. Younger children are generally thought of as being more malleable or "transformable." If this is true, then the younger children in the study may have experienced greater change simply because they were easier to change than the older children were. Related to this is the possibility that even if younger children are not more malleable, teachers may have believed that they were. This belief alone may have been enough to create differential treatment and produce the results that were reported.
2. Younger students in an elementary school tend to have less well-established reputations. In other words, if the teachers had not yet had a chance to form an opinion of a child's abilities, the expectancies created by the researchers would have carried more weight.
3. Younger children may be more easily influenced by and more susceptible to the subtle and unintentional processes that teachers use to communicate performance expectations to them: Under this interpretation, it is possible that teachers react to children of all grade levels in the same way if they believe them to be capable of intellectual gain. But perhaps it is only the younger children whose performance is affected by the special things the teacher says to them; the special ways in which she says them; the way she looks, postures, and touches the children from whom she expects greater intellectual growth. (Rosenthal & Jacobson, 1968, p. 83)
4. Teachers of these lower grades may differ from upper-grade teachers in ways that produce greater communication of their expectations to the children. Rosenthal and Jacobson did not speculate as to
exactly what these differences might be if indeed they exist.

SIGNIFICANCE OF FINDINGS AND SUBSEQUENT RESEARCH

The real importance of Rosenthal and Jacobson’s findings at Oak School relates to the potential long-lasting effects of teachers’ expectations on the scholastic performance of students. This, in turn, feeds directly into one of the most controversial topics in psychology and education today: the question of the fairness of IQ tests. We’ll return to this discussion shortly, but first, it is of interest to explore some later research that examined the ways in which teachers unconsciously communicate their higher expectations to the students whom they believe possess greater potential.

A study conducted by Chaiken, Sigler, and Derlega (1974) involved videotaping teacher-student interactions in a classroom situation in which the teachers had been informed that certain children were extremely bright (these bright students had been chosen at random from all the students in the class). Careful examination of the videos indicated that teachers favored the identified brighter students in many subtle ways. They smiled at these students more often, made more eye contact, and had more favorable reactions to these students’ comments in class. These researchers go on to report that students for whom these high expectations exist are more likely to enjoy school, receive more constructive comments from teachers on their mistakes, and work harder to try to improve. What this and other studies indicate is that teacher expectancies, while their influence is not the only determinant of a child’s performance in school, can affect more than just IQ scores.

Imagine for a moment that you are an elementary school teacher with a class of 20 students. On the first day of class, you receive a class roster on which is printed the IQ scores for all of your students. You notice that five of your pupils have IQ scores over 145, well into the genius range. Do you think that your treatment and expectations of those children during the school year would be the same as your other students? What about your expectations of those students compared with another five students with IQ scores in the low to normal range? If you answered that your treatment and expectations would be the same, I’d be willing to bet that you’d be wrong. As a matter of fact, they probably shouldn’t be the same! The point is that if your expectations became self-fulfilling prophecies, that might be unfair to some of the students. Now consider another, more crucial point. Suppose the IQ scores you received on your class roster were wrong. If these erroneous scores created expectations that benefited some students over others, it would clearly be unfair and probably unethical. This is one of the major issues fueling the IQ controversy that rages today.

For many years, many researchers have charged that the standard IQ tests used to assess the intelligence of children contain a racial or cultural bias. The argument is that since the tests were designed primarily by white, upper middle-class males, they contain ideas and information to which other ethnic groups are not exposed. Children from various minority groups in the United States traditionally score lower on these tests than white children do. Since it would be ridiculous to assume that these nonwhite children possess less basic intelligence than white children, the reason for these differences in scores must lie in the tests themselves. Traditionally, however, teachers in grades K through 12 were given this IQ information on all their students. If you stop and think about this fact in relation to the research by Rosenthal and Jacobson, you’ll see what a potentially dangerous situation may have been created. Besides the fact that children have been categorized in school according to their IQ scores (advanced placement, remedial classes, etc.), teachers’ unintended expectations, based on this possibly biased information, may have been creating unfair self-fulfilling prophecies. The arguments supporting this idea are convincing enough that most states have instituted a moratorium on IQ
testing and the use of IQ scores until such testing can be shown to be bias-free. And at the core of these arguments has been the research addressed in this chapter (see also the related work by Howard Gardner on multiple intelligences).

**RECENT APPLICATIONS**

Due in large part to Rosenthal and Jacobson's research, the power of teachers' expectations on students' performance has become an integral part of our understanding of the educational process. Furthermore, Rosenthal's theory of interpersonal expectancies has exerted its influence in numerous areas other than education. In 2002, Rosenthal himself reviewed the literature on expectancy effects using meta-analysis techniques (explained in the Smith and Glass study). He demonstrated how "the expectations of psychological researchers, classroom teachers, judges in the courtroom, business executives, and health care providers can unintentionally affect the responses of their research participants, pupils, jurors, employees, and patients" (Rosenthal, 2002, p. 839).

An uncomfortably revealing article incorporating Rosenthal's expectancy research examined the criteria school teachers use to refer their students to school psychologists for assessment and counseling (Andrews, et al, 1997). The researchers found that teachers referred African American children for developmental handicap assessment at rates significantly higher than the rates of Caucasian students in their classrooms. In addition, boys were referred in equally disproportionate numbers over girls for problems of classroom and playground behavior problems. The researchers suggested that the differences among the various student groups may have revealed more about teachers' expectations than real individual differences.

Finally, Rosenthal's Pygmalion studies have not been without critics. Richard Snow at Stanford University has questioned Rosenthal's findings for over 30 years and the debate between them continues today (Rosenthal is at The University of California). A concise, pithy, and rather rancorous dialogue between them on this very topic appeared in a 1994 issue of *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, the journal of the American Psychological Society (Rosenthal, 1994; Snow, 1994). It's a revealing and enjoyable read!


